**Reassessing the [CII]-Deficit in RCW79** 



**Eduard Keilmann**, S. Dannhauer, S. Kabanovic, N. Schneider, V. Ossenkopf-Okada, R. Simon, R. Güsten, L. Bonne, P. F. Goldsmith, A. Zavagno, J. Stutzki, D. Riechers, M. Röllig, J. L. Verbena, A.G.G.M. Tielens

## **Bubble in the Bubble**

Recent studies have shown that the ionized carbon 158 µm line (C<sup>+</sup>, [CII]) is a key tracer of gas cooling and dynamics in photo-dissociation regions. Observations in Orion (Pabst, et al. 2019) and FEEDBACK (Schneider, et al. 2020) Galactic regions using SOFIA data have revealed **expanding shells** with significant velocities (~10-15 km/s). These shell expansions are primarily **driven by stellar** winds from massive stars rather than thermal expansion.

### **Dynamics of S144**

Fitting ellipses to PV cuts yields a low expansion velocity of ~2.6 km/s (Fig. 3) of the [CII] bubble. Modeling the [CII] emission with SimLine non-LTE radiative transfer code (Ossenkopf, et al. 2001), the scenario is consistent with a combination of expansion and [CII] self-absorption.



Prior to actual wing-fitting, emission from the large shell of RCW79 is fitted and subtracted (blue and red components). Only the region around the ionizing O star is corrected, since double-peak spectra are first detected automatically, which are evident only around the O star.



In a recent A&A Letter (Keilmann, et al. 2025, 697:L2), we report the first detection of a bubble-shaped source (S144 in RCW79), associated with a compact HII region, excited by a single O7.5-9.5V/III star, consistent with a bubble still mostly "filled" with C<sup>+</sup>. This indicates most likely an early evolutionary stage before significant wind-blown cavities form.



Figure 2: Line-integrated (-70 to -20 km/s) [C II] intensity maps of RCW79. Top: RCW79 in [CII] with its ionizing source of twelve O-type stars marked in green. The black rectangle outlines S144 (the bubble in the bubble). Bottom: The black contour outlines S144 as detected with dendrograms. The black arrow indicates the PV-cut shown in Fig. 3. The dotted green ring shows the extent of the Spitzer 8 µm ring.

Figure 5: [CII] to FIR correlation. Top: The uncorrected [CII] emission clearly shows a [CII]-deficit for high values of [CII] and FIR emission. Bottom: The reconstructed and corrected [CII] emission is shifted upwards, encircled by a dashed red ellipse, recovering a linear correlation.

Figure 5 (top) shows the apparent [CII]deficit. After reconstructing under assumption of self-absorption, the corrected [CII] emission restores a linear relation to the FIR emission (Fig. 5, bottom), without invoking extreme densities, metallicities, or ionization parameters.

# Conclusions

In S144, we see for the first time a bubble "filled" with [CII] emission, indicating an early evolutionary state of a [CII] bubble.

Our method reveals [CII] self-absorption as the most likely explanation for the apparent [CII]-deficit in not-so-extreme Galactic regions like RCW79.



In RCW79, we observe a so-called [CII]**deficit**, which has lowered [CII] emission compared to Far-Infrared (FIR) emission. Considering the moderate density and radiation fields in S144, we exclude possible explanations, such as high dust optical depth or reduced photo-electric heating efficiency. Instead, we explain the [CII]-deficit as caused by [CII] selfabsorption.

missing [<sup>12</sup>CII] flux by fitting line wings.

Fitting the line wings under consideration of the excitation temperature and optical depth and by incorporating [<sup>13</sup>C II] emission and assuming [<sup>12</sup>C II] selfabsorption, we can **reconstruct** the missing [<sup>12</sup>CII] flux (correction factor) as shown in Fig. 4 (bottom). This correction factor ranges from 1.1 to 1.4, and is able to recover the missing [CII] flux. Note, this method is not trying to simply fit some Gaussian shape, but rather takes physical information into account.

[CII] self-absorption must be accounted for when interpreting [CII]-deficits in Galactic HII bubbles, and broader surveys are needed to quantify the prevalence of this effect.

#### References

Pabst, et al. 2019, Nature, 565, 618, Schneider, et al. 2020, PASP, 132, 104301, Keilmann, et al. 2025, A&A, 697:L2, Ossenkopf, et al. 2001, A&A, 378, 608, Kabanovic, et al. 2022, A&A, 659:A36

